

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/797,606	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUROKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(2) Ryan Chimomas.

**Date of Interview:** 9 April 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*8*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Mr. Chimomas was contacted with an examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Steve Adrian called back on 4/9/09 and informed that the applicants have agreed to the proposed examiner's amendments.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1654

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)